Trends in Aortic Occlusion and How to Minimize the Risk of Complications in REBOA

Yosuke Matsumura^{1,3} and Tomohiro Funabiki^{2,3}

¹Department of Intensive Care, Chiba Emergency Medical Center, Chiba City, Chiba, Japan ²Department of Emergency Medicine, Fujita Health University, Toyoake City, Aichi, Japan ³Japanese Society of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology in Emergency, Critical care and Trauma, Yokohama City, Kanagawa, Japan

Resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta (REBOA) increases proximal aortic pressure in order to maintain central organ perfusion pressure, and simultaneously regulates distal arterial flow by endovascular aortic occlusion, which is applied during subdiaphragmatic hemorrhage. This article briefly introduces the history of REBOA and discusses its potential effectiveness and harmfulness. The article also mentions the technical aspects of REBOA, and reconsiders the term "REBOA" and "resuscitation." The risks of aortic occlusion and ischemia-reperfusion injury have not been fully elucidated. It is hoped that REBOA can be used appropriately as a powerful option for the resuscitation of hemorrhagic patients and save the lives of as many patients as possible. The Society of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology in Emergency, Critical Care, and Trauma will publish continuous medical education articles on REBOA.

Keywords: Resuscitative Endovascular Balloon Occlusion of the Aorta; REBOA; Complication

Received: 10 October 2021; Accepted: 9 November 2021

INTRODUCTION

Resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta (REBOA) is a resuscitative maneuver using a balloon catheter through the femoral artery. It increases the proximal aortic pressure in order to maintain central organ perfusion pressure, and simultaneously regulates distal arterial flow by endovascular aortic occlusion. REBOA has been applied to subdiaphragmatic hemorrhage. It enables less invasive aortic occlusion in contrast to resuscitative thoracotomy with an aortic cross-clamp (RTACC) [1,2].

Ethical Approval and Informed Consent

Ethical approval was not required. Informed consent was not required.

Corresponding author:

Yosuke Matsumura, Department of Intensive Care, Chiba Emergency Medical Center 3-32-1, Isobe, Mihama-ku, Chiba City, Chiba, 261-0012, Japan.

Email: yousuke.jpn4035@gmail.com; matsumuray@chiba-u.jp © 2022 CC BY-NC 4.0 – in cooperation with Depts. of Cardiothoracic/Vascular Surgery, General Surgery and Anesthesia, Örebro University Hospital and Örebro University, Sweden

HISTORY OF REBOA

The history of aortic balloon occlusion dates back to the Korean War in the 1950s [3], and the devices have been commercially available in Japan since the 1990s. The device has been recognized as "Intra-aortic balloon occlusion (IABO)" [4], which has been used during resuscitation in severe hemorrhagic shock in torso trauma or postpartum hemorrhage, as a proximal control during surgery, and for prophylactic purposes in high-risk cesarean sections. The aortic occlusion device is not recognized globally, and there has not been a standard indication for use; rather it depends on the institutional or operator's policy.

The term "resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta (REBOA)" was first published in the Journal of Trauma in 2011 [5]. Since then, the global trend of aortic balloon occlusion devices has drastically changed. The Block Balloon[™] (Senko Medical Instrument, Tokyo, Japan) has been on the market, and it is compatible with a 10 Fr sheath [6]. Since this catheter was not available outside Japan, the Coda[®] Balloon Catheter (Cook Incorporated, Bloomington, IN, USA) or Reliant[™] Stent Graft Balloon Catheter (Medtronic,, Minneapolis, MN, USA) were used in some European countries and in the United States. The Rescue Balloon[®] (Tokai Medical Products, Aichi, Japan) [7], a small profile 7 Fr sheath compatible catheter, was launched in

Trends in REBOA

Japan in 2013 and became available internationally. The ER-REBOATM Catheter (Prytime Medical, Boerne TX, USA) [8] was approved and launched in the United States, after which it rapidly spread globally. The REBOA Balloon KitTM (REBOA Medical AS, Norway) [9] and the COBRA-OSTM (FrontLine Medical Technologies, ON, Canada) [10] followed, and the development of new technology and device competition is still ongoing.

EFFECTIVE OR HARMFUL?

REBOA appears to be effective in the resuscitation of severe hemorrhagic shock patients, and many have experienced cases in which they felt it was lifesaving [11,12]. In cases of splenic injury without chest trauma, REBOA may be a better alternative to RTACC for resuscitation, instead of rushing for splenectomy. It also helps to prevent sudden hemodynamic collapse at the time of laparotomy. In post-partum hemorrhage, it may function as a proximal control tool to create a dry operative field, to decrease the intraoperative blood loss and transfusion [13–16].

However, improper use of REBOA is harmful and can easily lead to complications and life-threatening situations. In addition, database analysis has reported that there is no solid evidence that the use of REBOA contributed to improved survival outcomes, but rather that it was harmful [17–19]. In a super-aging society like Japan, REBOA is often placed in high-risk elderly patients with vascular stenosis, calcification, and tortuosity. If the procedure and management after placement is not understood, complications will occur [20]. Understanding physiological and anatomical adaptations are not sufficient to unlock the potential value of REBOA. It is essential to establish a consistent medical care system that includes resuscitation, hemostasis, and intensive care for patients with hemorrhagic shock.

The balloon is usually positioned in zone 1 or zone 3 according to the hemodynamics and assumed injury sites. Zone 1 extends from the origin of the left subclavian artery to the celiac trunk, zone 2 extends from the celiac trunk to the lowest renal artery, and zone 3 exists from the lowest renal artery to the aortic bifurcation [5,21]. Hemodynamics and injury sites are uncertain in trauma cases. Considering the hemodynamics (urgency of cardiac arrest), chest trauma (especially aortic injury), and arterial access, we should consider choosing REBOA or RTACC correctly, or converting RTACC to REBOA [22]. On the other hand, there are some non-traumatic cases for which REBOA is indicated. Zone 3 REBOA contributes to resuscitation in the patients with postpartum hemorrhage [14]. The elective use of REBOA also decreases blood loss and transfusion during cesarean delivery in the placenta accrete [16]. REBOA can be used in abdominal aortic aneurysm and gastrointestinal bleeding [23,24]. In both traumatic and non-traumatic cases, REBOA is used as a bridge to definitive hemostasis, for proximal control, or for prophylactic purposes.

TIPS AND PITFALLS IN TECHNICAL PROCEDURES OF REBOA

The procedure of REBOA is relatively simple, but REBOA providers need to master the knowledge and skills needed to minimize the risk of complications [20]. The REBOA operator needs to choose several procedural options: arterial access (blind, ultrasound-guided, cut-down), imaging modality during the procedure (ultrasound, X-ray, fluoroscopy), positioning of the balloon (external landmark, vertebral body with fluoroscopy), and location (resuscitation room, angiography suite, operation room). There are several balloonvolume adjustment methods: blind injection until tactile feedback, pre-determined volume, and titration based on the femoral arterial pulse pressure. Each adjustment method differs in terms of accuracy, reproducibility, safety, and feasibility. The operator should always prepare plans B or C in the case of any difficulty.

THE TERM "REBOA"

Now, let us return to the consideration of the term "REBOA". Is this device really "resuscitative"? Does this device merely occlude the aorta? To answer these questions, we need to reconsider the meaning of "resuscitation".

"Resuscitation" during a cardiac arrest comprises chest compressions, adrenaline administration, defibrillation, and airway management [25,26]. "Resuscitation" for trauma assumes a broader situation and is a process of achieving physiological stability in the primary survey. Aortic balloon occlusion may work effectively in the process of "resuscitation". Aortic occlusion to avoid cardiac arrest in hemodynamic instability is truly "resuscitative". Such "resuscitative" REBOA has been compared to resuscitative thoracotomy and aortic cross-clamp [2,11].

There are many ways to use an aortic balloon occlusion catheter. In addition to resuscitative use, intraoperative proximal control in slightly more stable patients and prophylactic use in elective cases are other indications for aortic balloon occlusion. Even after responding to the fluid or transfusion, the aortic occlusion catheter provides safe intrahospital transport to the operating room as a partial occlusion or deflation.

In this way, the REBOA catheter has more potential in a non-resuscitative situation. In addition, REBOA does not contribute to resuscitation during a hemorrhagic shock. It is just a bridge to definitive hemostasis. Proper and safe use of aortic balloon occlusion, including proximal control [27] and prophylactic use [15], should always be carried out in front-line clinical practice without being overwhelmed by the term "resuscitative" in "REBOA".

CONCLUSION

The risks of aortic occlusion and ischemia-reperfusion injury have not been fully elucidated. REBOA should only be used when really needed. In many situations, resuscitation and hemostasis without the use of REBOA is the best choice. It may not be available, depending on the patient's anatomy and the procedure environment. We strongly hope that REBOA can be used appropriately as a powerful option for resuscitation of hemorrhagic patients and can save the lives of as many patients as possible. The Society of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology in Emergency, Critical Care, and Trauma will publish continuous medical education articles on REBOA.

Ethics Statement

- (1) All the authors mentioned in the manuscript have agreed to authorship, read and approved the manuscript, and given consent for submission and subsequent publication of the manuscript.
- (2) The authors declare that they have read and abided by the JEVTM statement of ethical standards including rules of informed consent and ethical committee approval as stated in the article.

Conflicts of Interest

Yosuke Matsumura was a clinical advisory board member of Tokai Medical Products (2015–2017). The other authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Funding

This research was supported in part by research grants from The General Insurance Association of Japan, 20-1-061.

REFERENCES

- Seamon MJ, Haut ER, Van Arendonk K, et al. An evidencebased approach to patient selection for emergency department thoracotomy: a practice management guideline from the Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2015;79(1):159–73.
- [2] DuBose JJ, Scalea TM, Brenner M, et al. The AAST prospective Aortic Occlusion for Resuscitation in Trauma and Acute Care Surgery (AORTA) registry: data on contemporary utilization and outcomes of aortic occlusion and resuscitative balloon occlusion of the aorta (REBOA). J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2016;81(3):409–19.
- [3] Hughes CW. Use of an intra-aortic balloon catheter tamponade for controlling intra-abdominal hemorrhage in man. Surgery. 1954;36(1):65–8.
- [4] Irahara T, Sato N, Moroe Y, et al. Retrospective study of the effectiveness of Intra-Aortic Balloon Occlusion (IABO) for traumatic haemorrhagic shock. World J Emerg Surg. 2015;10(1):1.
- [5] Stannard A, Eliason JL, Rasmussen TE. Resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta (REBOA) as an adjunct for hemorrhagic shock. J Trauma. 2011; 71(6):1869–72.

- [6] Saito N, Matsumoto H, Yagi T, et al. Evaluation of the safety and feasibility of resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2015;78(5):897–904.
- [7] Teeter WA, Matsumoto J, Idoguchi K, et al. Smaller introducer sheaths for REBOA may be associated with fewer complications. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2016; 81(6):1039–45.
- [8] Romagnoli AN, Teeter W, Wasicek P, et al. No wire? No problem: resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta (REBOA) can be performed effectively and more rapidly with a wire-free device. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2018;83(6):1161–4.
- [9] Stensaeth KH, Sovik E, Haig IN, Skomedal E, Jorgensen A. Fluoroscopy-free Resuscitative Endovascular Balloon Occlusion of the Aorta (REBOA) for controlling life threatening postpartum hemorrhage. PLoS ONE. 2017; 12(3):e0174520.
- [10] Power A, Parekh A, Scallan O, et al. Size matters: firstin-human study of a novel 4 French REBOA device. Trauma Surgery & Acute Care Open. 2021;6(1):e000617.
- [11] Brenner M, Teeter W, Hoehn M, et al. Use of resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta for proximal aortic control in patients with severe hemorrhage and arrest. J Vasc Surg. 2018;67(1):355–6.
- [12] Ordoñez CA, Parra M, Caicedo Y, et al. REBOA as a new damage control component in hemodynamically unstable noncompressible torso hemorrhage patients. Colomb Med (Cali). 2020;51(4):e4064506.
- [13] Ioffe YJM, Burruss S, Yao R, et al. When the balloon goes up, blood transfusion goes down: a pilot study of REBOA in placenta accreta spectrum disorders. Trauma Surg Acute Care Open. 2021;6(1):e000750.
- [14] Manzano-Nunez R, Escobar-Vidarte MF, Naranjo MP, et al. Expanding the field of acute care surgery: a systematic review of the use of resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta (REBOA) in cases of morbidly adherent placenta. Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg. 2018;44(4):519–26.
- [15] Ordonez CA, Manzano-Nunez R, Parra MW, et al. Prophylactic use of resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta in women with abnormal placentation: a systematic review, meta-analysis, and case series. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2018;84(5):809–18.
- [16] Riazanova OV, Reva VA, Fox KA, et al. Open versus endovascular REBOA control of blood loss during cesarean delivery in the placenta accreta spectrum: a singlecenter retrospective case control study. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2021;258:23–8.
- [17] Norii T, Crandall C, Terasaka Y. Survival of severe blunt trauma patients treated with resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta compared with propensity score-adjusted untreated patients. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2015;78(4):721–8.
- [18] Inoue J, Shiraishi A, Yoshiyuki A, et al. Resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta might be dangerous in patients with severe torso trauma: a propensity score analysis. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2016;80(4):559–67.
- [19] Joseph B, Zeeshan M, Sakran JV, et al. Nationwide analysis of resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta in civilian trauma. JAMA Surg. 2019; 154(6):500–8.

- [20] Davidson AJ, Russo RM, Reva VA, et al. The pitfalls of REBOA: risk factors and mitigation strategies. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2017;84(1):192–202.
- [21] Brenner M, Hoehn M, Pasley J, et al. Basic endovascular skills for trauma course: bridging the gap between endovascular techniques and the acute care surgeon. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2014;77(2):286–91.
- [22] Matsumura Y, Matsumoto J, Kondo H, et al. Partial occlusion, conversion from thoracotomy, undelayed but shorter occlusion: resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta strategy in Japan. Eur J Emerg Med. 2018;25(5):348–54.
- [23] Matsumura Y, Matsumoto J, Idoguchi K, et al. Non-traumatic hemorrhage is controlled with REBOA in acute phase then mortality increases gradually by non-hemorrhagic causes: DIRECT-IABO registry in Japan. Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg. 2018;44(4):503–9.

- [24] Hoehn MR, Hansraj NZ, Pasley AM, et al. Resuscitative endovascular balloon occlusion of the aorta for nontraumatic intra-abdominal hemorrhage. Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg. 2019;45(4):713–8.
- [25] Nolan JP, Maconochie I, Soar J, et al. Executive Summary: 2020 international consensus on cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care science with treatment recommendations. Circulation. 2020;142(16_suppl_1):S2–S27.
- [26] Perkins GD, Graesner JT, Semeraro F, et al. European Resuscitation Council Guidelines 2021: Executive summary. Resuscitation. 2021;161:1–60.
- [27] Vella MA, Dumas RP, DuBose J, et al. Intraoperative REBOA: an analysis of the American Association for the Surgery of Trauma AORTA registry. Trauma Surg Acute Care Open. 2019;4(1):e000340.