

# Editorial

2024 was an important year both for legal and for technical developments relating to artificial intelligence (AI) and text and data mining (TDM). Landmark cases, such as the LAION ruling by the Hamburg court, provided early judicial interpretations of national TDM provisions post-implementation of the Copyright in the Digital Single Market (CDSM) Directive, while further litigation has recently also unfolded in the United States and the United Kingdom.

Against this backdrop, the Institute for Intellectual Property and Market Law (IFIM) at Stockholm University hosted a conference on TDM, AI, and Libraries in collaboration with Wikimedia Sverige and Swedish Library Association. The event was opened by the Dean of the Law Faculty, Professor Jane Reichel and closed by the National Librarian Karin Grönvall and Stockholm University Library's Head Librarian Wilhelm Widmark. It brought together legal scholars, researchers, and librarians all eager to examine the evolving legal framework surrounding TDM, AI-driven research, and its impact on knowledge dissemination.

A major theme of the conference was the complex interplay between copyright and AI-related research. While copyright may serve as a foundation for intellectual creation, it also presents a number of uncertainties and potential obstacles for researchers and libraries, particularly when it comes to digitization and access to materials to be used in TDM. Libraries hosting digitized materials are restricted by national copyright legislation when it comes to accessibility provided to researchers. The research exceptions to copyright are, in turn, hard to navigate and rarely interpreted in the national courts. The conference provided an important and rather unique platform to discuss if and how copyright needs to be amended to allow libraries to fulfil their role in supporting research and researchers.

Building on the very interesting debates in the conference, this issue of the Stockholm IP Law Review explores the legal, ethical, and practical challenges of TDM in the age of AI. The contributions examine TDM implementations across jurisdictions, the role of open-access

knowledge, and the implications of AI for copyright law. Maja Bogataj Jančič and Ema Purkart analyze Slovenia's approach to TDM, highlighting both the progressive steps taken and the lingering legal uncertainties, while Konrad Gliściński provides insights into Poland's implementation, where conflicting interpretations have raised concerns over its compatibility with EU law. Branka Marušić explores how different EU Member States have navigated the harmonization of TDM exceptions, questioning whether the legal framework fosters cohesion or divergence across Europe.

Beyond legislative analysis, this issue also considers how AI is reshaping legal research itself. Professor Johan Lindholm examines the growing role of computational methods in legal scholarship, highlighting how natural language processing (NLP) and large-scale data analysis can transform traditional legal research methodologies. His work challenges the perception that doctrinal and empirical approaches are incompatible, arguing instead that data-driven legal analysis can provide deeper insights into legal texts, case law, and legal decision-making patterns. In a similar vein, Holli Sargeant and Professor Felix Steffek introduce a dataset of UK Court decisions, the Cambridge Law Corpus, and explore how AI models can predict outcomes in the UK Employment Tribunal, offering a glimpse into the future of computational legal analysis. These contributions reflect how AI is not only reshaping how legal professionals access and interpret the law but also redefining the nature of legal scholarship.

The role of open-access knowledge in AI training is another topic addressed. Eric Luth scrutinizes the use of Wikipedia and the other Wikimedia platforms as a source for AI training data, highlighting potential tensions between open-access licensing and proprietary and commercial AI development while arguing for the value and importance of open-access material in AI training. Relatedly, Ana Lazarova and Eric Luth examine the position of knowledge custodians—libraries, archives, and cultural heritage institutions—as enablers or gatekeepers in the AI era, exploring the legal and practical dilemmas they face in managing access to digital resources. A core

focus of their discussion is the opt-out mechanism of the CDSM Directive's TDM exception, assessing whether current legal structures empower rightsholders to control AI's use of copyrighted material—or rather introduce further legal uncertainty that could hinder research and innovation.

As AI-driven research accelerates, so does the urgency of ensuring that copyright law evolves to support and not stifle scientific inquiry. The contributions in this issue reflect the ongoing legal debates surrounding TDM, copyright, and AI, offering perspectives on how the law can better accommodate technological progress, respect the rights and interests of copyright holders, while safeguarding the ecosystem of free and open knowledge and its production.

Frantzeska Papadopoulou Skarp  
Eric Luth  
Lisa Gemmel



**Frantzeska Papadopoulou Skarp**

Frantzeska Papadopoulou Skarp is Professor of Intellectual Property Rights and the Head of the IP Law Group of Stockholm University. Papadopoulou is a member of the Research Council of the Law Faculty at Stockholm University and the Chair of IFIM (Research Institute for Intellectual Property Rights and Market Rights). She is the editor-in-chief and founder of the Stockholm Intellectual Property Law Review and a member of the Board of the National Library of Sweden.



**Eric Luth**

Eric Luth holds an M.A. in Comparative Literature and is currently the Project Manager for Involvement and Advocacy at Wikimedia Sverige. He is the National Coordinator for the Knowledge Rights 21 Programme, a European program funded by the Arcadia Fund to promote access to culture, learning and research, and was an expert in the public inquiry reviewing exceptions and limitations in Swedish copyright law.



**Lisa Gemmel**

Lisa Gemmel is the press and policy officer at Swedish Library Association. She has a law degree from Stockholm University, and has a background in labour law and policy work in labour market and issues regarding culture workers.

Photo: Severus Tenenbaum.

## CONTENT EDITOR

---



### CONTENT EDITOR

Frantzeska Papadopoulou Skarp

## ASSISTANT CONTENT EDITOR

---



### ASSISTANT CONTENT EDITOR

Leonidas Fotiatis

## STUDENT EDITORIAL TEAM

---



### EDITOR

Constantin Berlage



### EDITOR

Rashad Muhammadzada



### EDITOR

Melanie Lindgren



### EDITOR

Sofía Sarmiento



### EDITOR

Rahul Scharfenberg





Denna digitala version är nedladdad från [lawpub.se](http://lawpub.se)

Den licens som tillämpas för de verk som finns på lawpub.se är Creative Commons CC BY-NC 4.0. Licensvillkoren måste följas i sin helhet och dessa finner du här:

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/legalcode.sv>

Sammanfattningsvis innehåller licensen följande:

Tillstånd för användaren att

- Kopiera och vidaredistribuera materialet oavsett medium eller format
- Bearbeta och bygga vidare på materialet

Villkoren för tillståndet är

- Att användaren ger ett korrekt erkännande, anger en hyperlänk till licensen och anger om bearbetningar är gjorda av verket. Detta ska göras enligt god sed.
- Att användaren inte använder materialet för kommersiella ändamål.
- Att användaren inte tillämpar rättsliga begränsningar eller teknik som begränsar andras rätt att gör något som licensen tillåter.

Se även information på

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/deed.sv>